

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,349	Applicant(s) BALCONI ET AL.	
	Examiner Magali P. Slawski	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

(1) Magali P. Slawski.

(2) Mr. Benjamin D. Bailey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

34

Prior art documents discussed:

Belli et al. (WO 02/47092 A1), henceforth Belli.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Bailey approved the examiner's amendment in order to overcome a 103 rejection of claim 34 over Belli. Before the amendment, the claim recited pluralities of pumps and injectors without explaining why those pluralities were critical. The goal was to prepare the claims for allowance by reciting how those plural parts were "used" in a special way to achieve superior results.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jennifer K. Michener/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)